

PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER WORKSHEET

Case No.: 20-CV-05154 (DRH) (JMW) **Date of Initial Conference:** June 9, 2021 at 3:00 PM

Plaintiff(s): Roger Gould, et al.,

Defendants(s): ILKB LLC, et al.,

DEADLINES & COURT APPEARANCES

July 2, 2021	:	Exchange of Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures
August 2, 2021	:	Service of first interrogatories and document demands
September 2, 2021	:	Responses to first interrogatories and document demands
September 2, 2021	:	Motions to join new parties or amend the pleadings
September 10, 2021	:	Status conference to be held (Date and Time)
October 22, 2021	:	Completion of depositions
November 1, 2021	:	Identification of case-in-chief experts and service of Rule 26 disclosures
November 15, 2021	:	Identification of rebuttal experts and service of Rule 26 disclosures
December 13, 2021	:	Commencement of summary judgment motion practice. Depending on the assigned district judge, the first action commencing the practice would be either requesting a pre-motion conference or initiating the exchange of Local Civil Rule 56.1 statements. Parties are required to consult the individual rules of the district judge regarding motion practice
February 1, 2022	:	Pretrial conference in courtroom 1024 of the Central Islip courthouse. If the trial judge requires one, a joint proposed pretrial order in compliance with that judge's requirements and signed by counsel for each party must be received by the undersigned 3 business days prior this conference (Date and Time)

ESI DISCOVERY

Have counsel discussed the existence of electronically stored information, discussed the location and production of such information, as required by Rule 26? YES X NO

Have the parties entered into an ESI protocol stipulation? YES___ NO_X

Alternatively, if no ESI protocol is necessary because of the limited amount of ESI in the case, please check here: _____

CONFIDENTIALITY

Do the parties anticipate the need for a confidentiality stipulation and order?
YES___ NO_X

MAGISTRATE CONSENT

Do the parties consent to all proceedings, including trial, before a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 636(c)? YES/NO (*Answer no if any party declines to consent without indicating which party has declined. Parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences.*)

COUNSEL:

For Plaintiff(s) /s/ Justin S. Weitzman

For Defendant(s) _____